WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

ime: 3:00 m Weather Conditions: Sonny 43°				
		Yes	No	Notes
RL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
1:	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	The phase California nación dos manicos esta	X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	- Common property of the common property of t
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	:	X	
R Fı	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		<u> </u>
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		unium priisi ta ta apartie a ta ta kanana kanana pinangan kanana kanana kanana kanana
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	landarista (din)	1	and the state of t
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	The state of the s	K	and the first section of the section
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	and the state of
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			